

Generalized Colorful Linear Programming and Further Applications

Frédéric Meunier*

Wolfgang Mulzer†

Pauline Sarrabezolles*

Yannik Stein†

Abstract

Colorful linear programming (CLP) is a generalization of linear programming that was introduced by Bárány and Onn. Given k point sets $C_1, \dots, C_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ that each contain a point $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in their positive span, the problem is to compute a set $C \subseteq C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_k$ that contains at most one point from each set C_i and that also contains \mathbf{b} in its positive span, or to state that no such set exists. CLP is known to be NP-hard.

We consider a generalization of CLP in which we are given additionally for each set C_i a number $l_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and we want to find a set that contains at most l_i points from C_i . We call this problem *generalized colorful linear programming* (GCLP). While we show that even seemingly simple cases of GCLP remain NP-hard, we present a weakly-polynomial algorithm for the special case that there are only two colors and that the vectors of each set C_i contain \mathbf{b} in their positive span. This case is particularly interesting due to its connection with the colorful Carathéodory theorem. Furthermore, we consider additional applications of CLP to problems on colored graphs.

1 Introduction

The colorful Carathéodory theorem [2] states that given $C_1, \dots, C_{d+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ point sets that all contain the origin in their convex hulls, there always exists a set $C \subset C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_{d+1}$ that contains at most one point from each set C_i , $i = 1, \dots, d+1$, and that also contains the origin in its convex hull. We call the sets C_i , $i = 1, \dots, d+1$, *color classes* and we call a set with at most one point from each color class a *colorful choice*. Bárány also gave the following more general version.

Theorem 1 ([2]) *Let $C_1, \dots, C_d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be point sets and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ a point with $\mathbf{b} \in \text{pos}(C_i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Then, there is a colorful choice C with $\mathbf{b} \in \text{pos}(C)$.*

*Université Paris Est, CERMICS (ENPC), {frederic.meunier,pauline.sarrabezolles}@enpc.fr.

†Institut für Informatik, Freie Universität Berlin, {mulzer,yannikstein}@inf.fu-berlin.de. WS was supported in part by DFG Grants MU 3501/1 and MU 3501/2. YS was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft within the research training group ‘Methods for Discrete Structures’ (GRK 1408).

Here, we denote with $\text{pos}(P) = \{\sum_{\mathbf{p}_i \in P} \alpha_i \mathbf{p}_i \mid \alpha_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{p}_i \in P\}$ for a set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ the set of all non-negative linear combinations of points in P . Using a simple lifting argument, it can be shown that Theorem 1 implies the classic (convex) version of the colorful Carathéodory theorem as stated in the beginning.

In the spirit of the colorful Carathéodory theorem, Bárány and Onn [3] generalized linear programming to the colorful setting: given a point $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and point sets $C_1, \dots, C_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we want to find a colorful choice C with $\mathbf{b} \in \text{pos}(C)$ or state that there is none. We call this problem *colorful linear programming* (CLP) and we call the decision problem to decide whether there exists such a colorful choice DCLP. Bárány and Onn [3] showed that DCLP is NP-complete even if $k = d$ and each C_i contains $\mathbf{0}$ in its convex hull. This was extended by Mulzer and Stein [8] who showed that DCLP is NP-complete even if $k = d+1$ and each C_i does not necessarily contain $\mathbf{0}$ in its convex hull, and by Meunier and Sarrabezolles [7] who showed that DCLP is NP-complete for all values of k if each C_i does not necessarily contain $\mathbf{0}$ in its convex hull. We define the following generalization of CLP (GCLP): given a point $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, point sets $C_1, \dots, C_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and numbers $l_1, \dots, l_k \in \mathbb{N}$, we want to find a set C such that $|C \cap C_i| \leq l_i$ for $i \in [k]$ and such that $\mathbf{b} \in \text{pos}(C)$ or state that there is none. We obtain CLP by setting $l_1 = \dots = l_k = 1$.

Since CLP is NP-hard, GCLP is NP-hard as well. However, as with regular linear programming and integer programming, GCLP is very versatile and can be used to model colorful versions of many combinatorial problems. Therefore, it is of interest to identify special cases of GCLP that can be solved in polynomial time or to show that even the more restricted version of the problem remains NP-hard. We consider several such examples and delineate a more precise boundary between easy and hard colorful problems.

2 Generalized Colorful Linear Programming

In CLP, we want to find a set that contains at most one point from each color class. In *generalized colorful linear programming* (GCLP) we allow additionally to be given k nonnegative integers l_1, \dots, l_k that determine the number of points that we are allowed to take from each color class. We call a set C with $|C \cap C_i| \leq l_i$ for $i \in [k]$ an (l_1, \dots, l_k) -*colorful choice* or (with a slight abuse of notation) just a *colorful choice*.

2.1 Complexity

Since GCLP is a generalization of CLP, it remains NP-hard. However, even seemingly simple special cases such as $k = 1 \wedge l_1 = d$ [3, 6] or $k = 2 \wedge l_1 = l_2 = d/2$ [3] have been shown to be NP-hard as well. We show that even if the number of colors is fixed and each l_i is a constant fraction of $|C_i|$, $i \in [k]$, the problem remains NP-hard. We prove this for the convex version of GCLP. That is, we want to find a colorful choice C that contains \mathbf{b} in its convex hull instead of just in its positive span. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$. By a lifting argument, it can be easily shown that the convex version of GCLP is a special case of GCLP as stated in the introduction. Hence, any hardness results for the convex version hold for the cone version as well. The following theorem is the main tool in the reduction. The theorem was first obtained by Knauer et al. [6], albeit with a different proof. We compare both proofs below.

Theorem 2 *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a set of size $2d$. It is NP-complete to decide whether there is a subset $P' \subset P$ of size d containing the origin in its convex hull.*

Proof. Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$ be an instance of PARTITION, for d even. For $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$, we define the vector $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as having its i th coordinate equal to 1, its last coordinate equal to a_i , and all other coordinates equal to 0. The vector \mathbf{v}_d has all its coordinates equal to -1 except for the last coordinate, which is equal to a_d . Similarly, we define vectors $\mathbf{w}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and just replace the last coordinate by $-a_i$. Assume there is a partition A_1, A_2 of A with $\sum_{a \in A_1} a = \sum_{a \in A_2} a$. Then, we have $\sum_{a_i \in A_1} \mathbf{v}_i = -\sum_{a_i \in A_2} \mathbf{w}_i$ and hence $\mathbf{0} \in \text{conv}(\{\mathbf{v}_i \mid a_i \in A_1\} \cup \{\mathbf{w}_i \mid a_i \in A_2\})$. On the other hand, let $V' \subseteq \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d\}$ and $W' \subseteq \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_d\}$ be s.t. $|V'| + |W'| = d$ and s.t. $\mathbf{0} = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V'} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} + \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in W'} \lambda_{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}$, where $\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V'} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}} + \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in W'} \lambda_{\mathbf{w}} = 1$ and $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{w}} \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in V', \mathbf{w} \in W'$. By construction, for all $i = 1, \dots, d$, we have either $\mathbf{v}_i \in V'$ or $\mathbf{w}_i \in W'$ and furthermore, all coefficients $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{v} \in V', \mathbf{w} \in W'$, are equal. Hence, the sets $A_1 = \{a_i \mid \mathbf{v}_i \in V'\}$, $A_2 = \{a_i \mid \mathbf{w}_i \in W'\}$ form a partition of A with $\sum_{a \in A_1} a = \sum_{a \in A_2} a$. \square

We note that the set P constructed in the proof of Theorem 2 was first described by Bárány and Onn [3, Theorem 5.1]. However, they used it to prove the weaker statement *DCLP is NP-complete even for $k = d$* . This result is a consequence from Theorem 2 by setting $C_1 = \dots = C_d = P$. Also, NP-hardness of the two special cases $k = 1 \wedge l_1 = d$ and $k = 2 \wedge l_1 = l_2 = d/2$ follows from Theorem 2 by setting $C_1 = P \wedge l_1 = d$ and $C_1 = C_2 = P \wedge l_1 = l_2 = d/2$, respectively.

Note further that the problem from Theorem 2 was first shown to be NP-complete by Knauer et al. [6].

Additionally, the proof of Theorem 2 gives an alternative proof for the #P-completeness of computing the simplicial depth. This hardness result was first obtained by Afshani et al. [1] and the alternative reduction is analogous to the proof of [1, Theorem 9]. It is not immediate that the reduction from Knauer et al. [6] has similar implications.

In the following, let $\text{GCLP}_k(r_1, \dots, r_k)$, $r_i \in (0, 1)$, denote GCLP restricted to instances with exactly k color classes and the l_i 's are given by $l_i = \lceil r_i n_i \rceil$ for $i \in [k]$, where $n_i = |C_i|$. That is, we are allowed to take a constant fraction of each color class.

Theorem 3 *For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any fixed ratios $r_1, \dots, r_k \in (0, 1)$, $\text{GCLP}_k(r_1, \dots, r_k)$ is NP-hard.*

Proof. We prove the statement by a reduction similar to the proof of Theorem 2. Given some partition instance $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$, let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $|P| = 2d$, denote the same point set as in the proof of Theorem 2. If $r_1 |P| = d$, we set $C_1 = P$ and create “dummy” points for C_2, \dots, C_k that will never be part of a convex combination of $\mathbf{0}$. To ensure this, we lift P to \mathbb{R}^{d+1} by appending a 0-coordinate. Now, we set $C_i = \{\mathbf{c}_i\}$ for $i = 2, \dots, k$, where the coordinates of $\mathbf{c}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ are 0 in dimensions $j = 1, \dots, d$ and some positive number in dimension $d+1$.

Now, assume $\lceil r_1 |P| \rceil < d$ and hence $|P| < d/r_1$. We add $\lfloor d/r_1 - |P| \rfloor$ dummy points together with P to C_1 and create the other color classes as before. Then, we have $\lceil r_1 |C_1| \rceil = d$ as desired.

The last case is $\lceil r_1 |P| \rceil > d$. Again, we set $C_1 = P$ and construct C_2, \dots, C_k as above. To ensure that we only take d points from P , we add “mandatory” points to C_1 that have to be part of any convex combination of $\mathbf{0}$. We construct a mandatory point \mathbf{q} by introducing a new dimension in which we set the coordinates of all other points to 1. The new point \mathbf{q} has coordinates set to 0 in all but the new dimension, where it is set to -1 . A short calculation reveals that we have to add $m = \left\lfloor \frac{r_1 |P| - d}{1 - r_1} \right\rfloor$ mandatory points together with P to C_1 in order to ensure that $\lceil r_1 |C_1| \rceil = d + m$.

Thus, the existence of a $(r_1 |C_1|, \dots, r_k |C_k|)$ -colorful choice is equivalent to the existence of a partition of A into two sets A_1, A_2 with $\sum_{a \in A_1} a = \sum_{a \in A_2} a$. Since r_1 is constant, we can create the additional dummy/mandatory points in polynomial time. \square

2.2 A Special Case

We now consider the following special case of GCLP: given a point $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a ratio $r \in [0, 1]$, and point sets $C_1, C_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of size d with $\mathbf{b} \in \text{pos}(C_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, we want to find an $(\lceil rd \rceil, \lfloor rd \rfloor)$ -colorful choice C with $\mathbf{b} \in \text{pos}(C)$, or state that there is none.

Theorem 1 guarantees the existence of such a colorful choice: we set the first $\lceil rd \rceil$ color classes to copies

of C_1 , and the next $\lceil rd \rceil$ color classes to copies of C_2 . Hence, this simple case of only two colors is particularly interesting as we know that there always exists a solution, but computing it is already nontrivial. Note that for $l_1 = \lceil rd \rceil - 1$ or $l_2 = \lfloor rd \rfloor - 1$ the problem becomes NP-hard as a consequence of Theorem 2.

We give a weakly-polynomial algorithm for the two-color case that is based on constructing a family of linear programs. Let L denote the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 2d}$ contains C_1 as its first d columns and C_2 as its second d columns. In the following, we assume that L is in general position. Given a cost vector $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote with $L_{\mathbf{c}}$ the linear program that maximizes the objective function $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ subject to the equalities and inequalities from L . Let \mathbf{c}_1 and \mathbf{c}_2 be two generic cost vectors such that C_1 and C_2 are optimal bases. One can show that \mathbf{c}_1 and \mathbf{c}_2 can be obtained in polynomial time. For $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we denote with \mathbf{c}_λ the cost vector $\lambda \mathbf{c}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{c}_2$ and with L_λ the linear program $L_{\mathbf{c}_\lambda}$. That is, the linear programs L_λ , $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, differ only in their cost functions which are convex combinations of \mathbf{c}_1 and \mathbf{c}_2 . Our construction has the following properties.

Lemma 4 *There is a finite number of ordered intervals I_1, \dots, I_s with pairwise disjoint interiors such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^s I_i = [0, 1]$ and such that*

- (i) *The length of each interval I_i , $i \in [s]$, is at least $1/K$, where $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\log K$ is bounded by a polynomial in the description size of L .*
- (ii) *For each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, there is a unique feasible basis that is optimal for all L_λ , where λ is contained in the interior of I_i .*
- (iii) *For λ belonging to two distinct intervals I_i, I_{i+1} , there are exactly two optimal bases that differ exactly by one column.*

Proof. (i): This follows from standard tools such as Cramer's rule and the Leibniz formula for determinants. (ii) & (iii): Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and let B be an optimal basis for L_λ . We denote with N the set of columns from A not in B . Then, the reduced cost vector [5] is given by $\mathbf{r}_{B,\lambda} = (\mathbf{c}_\lambda)_N - A_N^T (A_B^{-1})^T$, where $(\mathbf{c}_\lambda)_N$ denotes the subvector of \mathbf{c}_λ restricted to the coordinates corresponding to columns in N , A_N denotes the submatrix of A with columns in N , and A_B denotes the submatrix of A with columns in B . If the sign of the i th coordinate of $\mathbf{r}_{B,\lambda}$ is positive, then swapping the corresponding column from N into B increases the cost and otherwise (if the sign is non-positive), the cost remains equal or decreases. Since we want to maximize the objective function, a basis is optimal iff all coordinates of $\mathbf{r}_{B,\lambda}$ are non-positive, and it is unique if all coordinates of $\mathbf{r}_{B,\lambda}$ are negative.

We obtain the intervals I_1, \dots, I_s iteratively as follows: initially we set $\lambda = 0$. By general position and

genericity of \mathbf{c}_1 , the unique optimal basis for L_λ is C_1 , i.e., all coordinates of $\mathbf{r}_{B,\lambda}$ are negative. Now, we continuously increase λ until one of the coordinates of $\mathbf{r}_{B,\lambda}$ becomes 0. Let λ_1 denote this value and let i be the coordinate of \mathbf{r}_{B,λ_1} that is 0 (by general position and genericity, i is unique). Since C_1 is not an optimal basis for L_1 , λ_1 exists. Because each coordinate of $\mathbf{r}_{b,\lambda}$ is a linear function in λ , $(\mathbf{r}_{b,\lambda'})_i$ is positive for all $\lambda' > \lambda_1$. Then, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that i is the only nonnegative coordinate of $\mathbf{r}_{b,\lambda'}$ for $\lambda' \in I = (\lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \varepsilon)$. Hence, for all $\lambda' \in I$, the basis B' that is obtained by swapping the column from N that corresponds to coordinate i of $\mathbf{r}_{b,\lambda}$ into B is the unique optimal basis. Note further, that both B' and B are optimal for L_{λ_1} . Set $I_1 = [0, \lambda_1]$ and construct iteratively the next intervals until $B' = C_2$. Let $\lambda_s \in [0, 1]$ be the minimum value for which C_2 is an optimal basis for L_{λ_s} . Then, C_2 is optimal for every $\lambda' \in [\lambda_s, 1]$. We set $I_s = [\lambda_s, 1]$ and conclude the construction of the intervals. \square

We now describe the complete algorithm. In round i , we maintain an interval $[a_i, b_i] \subset [0, 1]$, such that the optimal basis for L_{a_i} contains at least $\lceil rd \rceil$ columns from C_1 (and due to the general position assumption, at most $\lfloor (1-r)d \rfloor$ columns from C_2) and such that the optimal basis for L_{b_i} contains at most $\lceil rd \rceil$ columns from C_1 . We maintain the following invariant: there exists a $\lambda \in [a_i, b_i]$ such that the optimal basis for L_λ is the desired $(\lceil rd \rceil, \lfloor rd \rfloor)$ -colorful choice.

Initially, we set $[a_1, b_1] = [0, 1]$. By definition, C_1 is the optimal basis for L_0 and C_2 is the optimal basis for L_1 . By Lemma 4(iii) optimal bases for two adjacent intervals differ only in one column, and hence the invariant holds for $[a_1, b_1]$. We solve then the linear program L_λ for $\lambda = (a_k + b_k)/2$ and let B^* denote the optimal basis. If B^* contains at least $\lceil rd \rceil$ columns from C_1 , we set a_{i+1} to λ and $b_{i+1} = b_i$. Otherwise, we set $a_{k+1} = a_k$ and $b_{k+1} = \lambda$. Let B_1 be the optimal basis for $L_{a_{i+1}}$ and let B_2 be the optimal basis for $L_{b_{i+1}}$. Since B_1 contains at least $\lceil rd \rceil$ columns of C_1 and since B_2 contains at most $\lceil rd \rceil$ columns of C_1 , the invariant holds for $[a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}]$ again by Lemma 4 (iii).

After $i^* = O(\log K)$ iterations, the interval $[a_{i^*}, b_{i^*}]$ is contained in the union of two adjacent intervals I_j, I_{j+1} with $j \in [s-1]$. Let B_j and B_{j+1} be the optimal bases for I_j and I_{j+1} , respectively. Hence, by Lemma 4 (ii), either B_j or B_{j+1} is the desired basis.

Each round requires polynomial time, and the number of rounds is bounded by a polynomial in the bit-size of the input. The following theorem is immediate.

Theorem 5 *Let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a vector and let $C_1, C_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be two sets of size d with $\mathbf{b} \in \text{pos}(C_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Furthermore, let $r \in [0, 1]$ be a parameter. Then, there is an algorithm that computes an $(\lceil rd \rceil, \lfloor rd \rfloor)$ -colorful choice C with $\mathbf{b} \in \text{pos}(C)$ in weakly-polynomial time.*

3 Applications of Colorful Linear Programming

We consider two problems on colored graphs that can be cast as a CLP and analyze their complexity. The first problem is called COLORFULPATH: given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ whose edges are partitioned into k color classes C_1, \dots, C_k and two vertices $s, t \in V$, the problem is to decide whether there exists a directed path from s to t with at most one edge from each color class. COLORFULPATH is a special case of CLP, since the existence of an s - t path can be modeled as a flow. Chakraborty et al. [4] showed this problem to be NP-complete by a reduction from 3-SAT. We present a similar but simplified proof, that reduces the number of necessary colors from $O(mn^2)$ to $O(m)$, where m is the number of clauses and n is the number of variables in the 3-SAT formula.

Theorem 6 COLORFULPATH is NP-complete, even if the graph $G = (V, E)$ is acyclic and $|E| = O(|V|)$.

Proof. Consider a 3-SAT formula Φ , with n variables x_1, \dots, x_n and m clauses C_1, \dots, C_m , each containing exactly three literals. Our directed graph has $3m$ colors c_{jk} , $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $k = 1, 2, 3$, one for each literal in each clause. We allow multiple edges between two vertices. However, our construction can be easily modified to at most one edge per vertex-pair by introducing new vertices. For each clause C_j we have one clause gadget G_j and for each variable x_i , we have one variable gadget G'_i . The clause gadget G_j for a clause C_j consists of two vertices $\{s_j, t_j\}$ and three directed edges from s_j to t_j with colors c_{j1} , c_{j2} , and c_{j3} . The variable gadget G'_i for a variable x_i consists of two edge-disjoint paths that are vertex disjoint except at the start and the end vertex. The first path contains one edge for each positive occurrence of x_i in Φ , colored with the color that corresponds to this literal. The second path contains one analogous edge for each negative occurrence of x_i in Φ . The graph G is obtained by concatenating all clause gadgets and all vertex gadgets and by identifying the last vertex in each gadget with the first vertex in the following gadget. This construction can be performed in polynomial time, and there is a colorful path through all gadgets if and only if Φ is satisfiable. \square

We conclude with ANOTHERCOLORFULCYCLE (ACC): given a graph $G = (V, E)$, where $|E| = 2|V|$ and all edges are colored with $n = |V|$ colors such that exactly two edges have the same color, and a colorful Hamilton cycle in G , we want to find another colorful cycle (not necessarily Hamiltonian). This is a special case of the PPAD-complete problem ANOTHERCOLORFULSIMPLEX [7] (ACS) and related to the PPA-problem ANOTHERHAMILTONPATH [9] (AHP), in which we are given a graph G and a Hamilton path in G , and we want to find another Hamilton path in G

or in its complement. While there are no polynomial-time algorithms known for ACS and AHP, we show that ACC can be solved efficiently.

Theorem 7 ANOTHERCOLORFULCYCLE can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof. Consider the bipartite graph $G' = (V', E')$ with vertices $V' = V \cup \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$. There is an edge $(v, C_i) \in E'$ if there is an outgoing edge from a vertex $v \in V$ with color C_i in G . Note that there is a bijection between E' and E . Furthermore, the edges $M \subset E'$ in G' that correspond to the edges of the Hamiltonian cycle in G are a perfect matching in G' .

Since $|E| \geq |V|$, there is a cycle C in G' . As each vertex $C_i \in V'$, $i \in [n]$, is incident to two edges, and since one of them is contained in M , C is of even length and its edges alternate between M and $E' \setminus M$. Then, $M' = M \oplus C$ is a perfect matching different from M . It induces a colorful set of edges where each vertex $v \in V$ has exactly one outgoing edge in M' . Hence, M' corresponds to a colorful cycle in G . \square

References

- [1] Peyman Afshani, Donald R. Sheehy, and Yannik Stein. Approximating the simplicial depth in high dimensions. In *EWCG 2016*.
- [2] Imre Bárány. A generalization of Carathéodory's theorem. *Discrete Mathematics*, 40:141–152, 1982.
- [3] Imre Bárány and Shmuel Onn. Colourful linear programming and its relatives. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 22:550–567, 1997.
- [4] Sourav Chakraborty, Eldar Fischer, Arie Mat-siah, and Raphael Yuster. Hardness and algorithms for rainbow connection. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, 21(3):330–347, 2011.
- [5] Vašek Chvátal. *Linear programming*. Macmillan, 1983.
- [6] Christian Knauer, Hans Raj Tiwary, and Daniel Werner. On the computational complexity of ham-sandwich cuts, Helly sets, and related problems. In *STACS 2011*.
- [7] Frédéric Meunier and Pauline Sarrabezolles. Colorful linear programming, Nash equilibrium, and pivots. *CoRR*, abs/1409.3436, 2014.
- [8] Wolfgang Mulzer and Yannik Stein. Computational Aspects of the Colorful Carathéodory Theorem. In *SoCG 2015*.
- [9] Christos H. Papadimitriou. On the complexity of the parity argument and other inefficient proofs of existence. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 48:498–532, 1994.